

APPENDIX 2

Equality Impact Assessment

The Equality Act 2010 replaces the previous anti-discrimination laws with a single Act. It simplifies the law, removing inconsistencies and making it easier for people to understand and comply with it. It also strengthens the law in important ways, to help tackle discrimination and equality. The majority of the Act came into force on 1 October 2010.

Public bodies are required in it to have due regard to the need to:

- eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct prohibited under the Act
- advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it, and
- foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.

The public sector Equality Duty came into force on 5 April 2011. The duty ensures that all public bodies play their part in making society fairer by tackling discrimination and providing equality of opportunity for all. It ensures that public bodies consider the needs of all individuals in their day to day work – in shaping policy, delivering services and in relation to their own employees.

The Equality Duty encourages public bodies to understand how different people will be affected by their activities so that policies and services are appropriate and accessible to all and meet different people's needs. By understanding the effect of their activities on different people, and how inclusive public services can support and open up people's opportunities, public bodies are better placed to deliver policies and services that are efficient and effective.

The new equality duty replaces the three previous public sector equality duties, for race, disability and gender. The new equality duty covers the following protected characteristics:

- age
- disability
- gender reassignment
- pregnancy and maternity
- race – this includes ethnic or national origins, colour or nationality
- religion or belief – including lack of belief
- sex
- sexual orientation.

It also applies to marriage and civil partnership, but only in respect of the requirement to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination.

Having due regard means consciously thinking about the three aims of the equality duty as part of the process of decision-making. This means that consideration of equality issues must influence the decisions reached by public bodies, including how

they act as employers, how they develop, evaluate and review policies, how they design, deliver and evaluate services, and how they commission and procure from others.

Having due regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity involves considering the need to:

- remove or minimise disadvantages suffered by people due to their protected characteristics
- meet the needs of people with protected characteristics, and
- encourage people with protected characteristics to participate in public life or in other activities where their participation is low.

Fostering good relations involves tackling prejudice and promoting understanding between people who share a protected characteristic and others.

Complying with the equality duty may involve treating some people better than others, as far as this is allowed by discrimination law. For example, it may involve making use of an exception or the positive action provisions in order to provide a service in a way which is appropriate for people who share a protected characteristic.

The Equality Duty also explicitly recognises that disabled people's needs may be different from those of non-disabled people. Public bodies should therefore take account of disabled people's impairments when making decisions about policies or services. This might mean making reasonable adjustments or treating disabled people better than non-disabled people in order to meet their needs.

There is no explicit requirement to refer to the Equality Duty in recording the process of consideration but it is good practice to do so. Keeping a record of how decisions were reached will help public bodies demonstrate that they considered the aims of the Equality Duty. Keeping a record of how decisions were reached will help public bodies show how they considered the Equality Duty. Producing an Equality Impact Assessment after a decision has been reached will not achieve compliance with the Equality Duty.

It is recommended that assessments are carried out in respect of new or revised policies and that a copy of the assessment is included as an appendix to the report provided to the decision makers at the relevant Cabinet, Committee or Scrutiny meeting.

Where it is clear from initial consideration that a policy will not have any effect on equality for any of the protected characteristics, no further analysis or action is necessary.

Public bodies should take a proportionate approach when complying with the Equality Duty. In practice, this means giving greater consideration to the Equality Duty where a policy or function has the potential to have a discriminatory effect or impact on equality of opportunity, and less consideration where the potential effect on equality is slight. The Equality Duty requires public bodies to think about people's different needs and how these can be met.

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA)

Directorate:	Chief Executive's	Lead officer responsible for EIA	
Name of the policy or function to be assessed:		Statement of Gambling Policy	
Names of the officers undertaking the assessment:		John Miley	
Is this a new or an existing policy or function?		Existing	
1. What are the aims and objectives of the policy or function?			
<p>The aim of the Authority in this Statement is to address the impact of licensed premises in terms of crime and disorder arising from gambling and to ensure that their location and internal layout are appropriate with a view to protecting children and vulnerable persons. The Authority is committed to partnership with all stakeholders with a view to the promotion of this aim. The Authority recognises the impact that unregulated gambling may have on its community and sustainability as a viable local economy and in delivering the gambling regime will, with regard to the principles set out in this Statement, seek to support its local economy and protect vulnerable people. In doing so it will consider each application on its own merits within the context of this Statement, the legislation and guidance and codes of practice issued by the Gambling Commission.</p>			
2. What outcomes do you want to achieve from the policy or function?			
<p>The policy is intended to define how applications are made and dealt with under the relevant legislation. It also sets out matters that applicants must consider when making such applications and gives clear guidance on matters to be considered by the licensing authority when determining the applications.</p> <p>It will also assist in supporting the Council's policies as set out in the Equality and Diversity Policy</p>			
3. Who is intended to benefit from the policy or function?			
<p>Applicants, Responsible Authorities, other stakeholders, the public and the licensing authority will benefit from the policy.</p>			
4. Who are the main stakeholders in relation to the policy or function?			

Operators of gambling premises, holders of gambling permits, Responsible Authorities (as defined in the Gambling Act 2005), the public and the licensing authority are all stakeholders in the policy. The Policy is statutorily reviewed every 3 years. The policy review is widely consulted upon with all stakeholders.

5. What baseline quantitative data do you have about the policy or function relating to the different equality strands?

None

6. What baseline qualitative data do you have about the policy or function relating to the different equality strands?

None available

7. What has stakeholder consultation, if carried out, revealed about the nature of the impact?

Previous consultations have not indicated any impact.

8. From the evidence available does the policy or function affect or have the potential to affect different equality groups in different ways?

In assessing whether the policy or function adversely affects any particular group or presents an opportunity for promoting equality, consider the questions below in relation to each equality group:

- **Does the policy or function target or exclude a specific equality group or community? Does it affect some equality groups or communities differently? If yes, can this be justified?**

The policy does not target or exclude any particular body.

- **Is the policy or function likely to be equally accessed by all equality groups or communities? If no, can this be justified?**

The revised policy will apply equally across all groups and communities in the borough.

- **Are there barriers that might make access difficult or stop different equality groups or communities accessing the policy or function?**

There are no barriers in respect of the policy being accessed and utilised by any equality group or community. The policy is available for all to use.

- **Could the policy or function promote or contribute to equality and good relations between different groups? If so, how?**

The implementation of the Gambling Act 2005 and the Statement of Gambling Policy engendered a close working relationship with all stakeholders across the County. Safeguarding and vulnerability issues have been and continue to be dealt with by the Nottinghamshire Authorities Licensing Group (NALG)

• **What further evidence is needed to understand the impact on equality?**

None. However, should any evidence arise as a result of the comprehensive consultation process, it will be considered and if necessary acted upon. No evidence has emerged from previous consultations.

9. On the basis of the analysis above what actions, if any, will you need to take in respect of each of the equality strands?

Age:

No adverse impact has been identified

Disability:

No adverse impact has been identified.

Gender Reassignment:

No adverse impact has been identified.

Marriage and Civil Partnership:

No adverse impact has been identified.

Pregnancy and Maternity:

No adverse impact has been identified.

Race:

No adverse impact has been identified.

Religion and Belief:

No adverse impact has been identified

Sex:

No adverse impact has been identified

Sexual Orientation:

No adverse impact has been identified.

Head of Service:

I am satisfied with the results of this EIA. I undertake to review and monitor progress against the actions proposed in response to this impact assessment.

Signature of Head of Service: